

In the United States Court of Federal Claims
OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS
No. 18-0559V
(not to be published)

WANDA RODGERS,

Petitioner,

v.

SECRETARY OF HEALTH AND
HUMAN SERVICES,

Respondent.

Chief Special Master Corcoran

Filed: May 11, 2022

Special Processing Unit (SPU);
Attorney's Fees and Costs

Isaiah Kalinowski, Bosson Legal Group, Fairfax, VA, for Petitioner.

Mallori Browne Openchowski, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC, for Respondent.

DECISION ON ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS¹

On April 18, 2018, Wanda Rodgers filed a petition for compensation under the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program, 42 U.S.C. §300aa-10, *et seq.*² (the "Vaccine Act"). Petitioner alleged that she suffered shoulder injuries related to vaccine administration (SIRVAs), in both right and left shoulders, both meeting the criteria for Table SIRVAs and casually related to the influenza and tetanus, diphtheria, acellular pertussis vaccines she received on September 13, 2016. (Petition at ¶¶ 3, 14, 17). On

¹ Because this unpublished Decision contains a reasoned explanation for the action in this case, I am required to post it on the United States Court of Federal Claims' website in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002. 44 U.S.C. § 3501 note (2012) (Federal Management and Promotion of Electronic Government Services). **This means the Decision will be available to anyone with access to the internet.** In accordance with Vaccine Rule 18(b), Petitioner has 14 days to identify and move to redact medical or other information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of privacy. If, upon review, I agree that the identified material fits within this definition, I will redact such material from public access.

² National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986, Pub. L. No. 99-660, 100 Stat. 3755. Hereinafter, for ease of citation, all Section references to the Vaccine Act will be to the pertinent subparagraph of 42 U.S.C. § 300aa (2012).

December 29, 2021, a decision was issued awarding compensation to Petitioner based in the amount of \$120,658.26. (ECF No. 65).

Petitioner has now filed a motion for attorney's fees and costs, dated March 15, 2022 (ECF No. 71), requesting an award of \$76,429.17 for attorney's fees and costs in the following amounts:

1. the amount of \$3,665.15, representing \$3,655.00 in fees and \$10.15 in costs, for work performed and costs incurred while at his current law firm, Bosson Legal Group; and
2. the amount of \$72,764.02, representing \$71,650.70 in fees and \$1,113.32 in costs of work performed and costs incurred while at his former law firm, Christopher & Toale, PA.

(*Id.*). In accordance with General Order No. 9, Petitioner filed a signed statement indicating that she incurred no out-of-pocket expenses. (ECF No. 71-5). Respondent reacted to the motion on March 22, 2022, indicating that he is satisfied that the statutory requirements for an award of attorney's fees and costs are met in this case, but deferring resolution of the amount to be awarded at the Court's discretion. (ECF No. 72). On March 23, 2022, Petitioner filed a reply requesting "an award of attorney's fees and costs in the amounts specified in Petitioner's Motion." (ECF No. 73).

I have reviewed the billing records submitted with Petitioner's request. In my experience, the request appears reasonable, and I find no cause to reduce the requested hours or rates. The Vaccine Act permits an award of reasonable attorney's fees and costs. Section 15(e). **Accordingly, Petitioner is awarded the total amount of \$76,429.17³ as follows:**

- **A lump sum of \$3,665.15, representing reimbursement for attorney's fees and costs, in the form of a check payable jointly to Petitioner and Petitioner's counsel, Bosson Legal Group and**
- **A lump sum of \$72,764.02, representing reimbursement for attorney's fees and costs, in the form of a check payable jointly to Petitioner and Petitioner's former counsel, Maglio Christopher & Toale, P.A.**

³ This amount is intended to cover all legal expenses incurred in this matter. This award encompasses all charges by the attorney against a client, "advanced costs" as well as fees for legal services rendered. Furthermore, § 15(e)(3) prevents an attorney from charging or collecting fees (including costs) that would be in addition to the amount awarded herein. See generally *Beck v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs.*, 924 F.2d 1029 (Fed. Cir.1991).

In the absence of a timely-filed motion for review (see Appendix B to the Rules of the Court), the Clerk shall enter judgment in accordance with this decision.⁴

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Brian H. Corcoran

Brian H. Corcoran

Chief Special Master

⁴ Pursuant to Vaccine Rule 11(a), the parties may expedite entry of judgment by filing a joint notice renouncing their right to seek review.